

# The asymptotic joint locations of the largest and smallest extremes of a stationary sequence

Pereira, Luísa

*University of Beira Interior, Department of Mathematics*

*Rua Marquês d'Ávila e Bolama, 6200-001 Covilhã, Portugal*

*E-mail: lpereira@mat.ubi.pt*

## Abstract

*Under appropriate dependence conditions, we obtain the asymptotic independence of the joint locations of the largest extremes and the joint locations of the smallest extremes of a stationary sequence  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ . The result obtained allows us to censure a sample, by ensuring that the set of observations that we selected contains the  $k$  largest and  $r$  smallest order statistics of the stationary sequence  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ , with a pre-determined probability. We present an example of a 2-dependent sequence for which we can apply this result.*

**Keywords:** Exceedances; Locations; Dependence conditions; Point processes.

AMS Subject Classification: 60G70; 62G55.

## 1. Introduction

For a sequence of random variables  $\mathbf{X} = \{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  and a family of real levels  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  we will denote the  $k$ th upper and the  $r$ th lower order statistics among  $X_i, i \in I$ , with  $I \subset R_n = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , respectively by  $\overline{M}_n^{(k)}(I)$  and  $\underline{M}_n^{(r)}(I)$  or simply by  $\overline{M}_n^{(k)}$  and  $\underline{M}_n^{(r)}$ , when  $I = R_n$ .

We define the locations of  $\overline{M}_n^{(k)}$  and  $\underline{M}_n^{(r)}$ , denoted respectively by  $\overline{L}_n^{(k)}$  and  $\underline{L}_n^{(r)}$ , by

$$\overline{L}_n^{(k)} = \min \left\{ 1 \leq j \leq n : \overline{M}_n^{(k)} = X_j \right\}$$

and

$$\underline{L}_n^{(r)} = \min \left\{ 1 \leq j \leq n : \underline{M}_n^{(r)} = X_j \right\}.$$

Under appropriate dependence conditions Pereira *et al.* (2002) obtained the asymptotic independence of the locations of maximum and minimum of a stationary sequence  $\mathbf{X}$ .

Our aim, in this paper, is to obtain the asymptotic independence of the joint locations of the  $k$  largest order statistics and the joint locations of the  $s$  smallest order statistics.

The result obtained allows us to censure a sample, by ensuring that the set of observations that we selected contains the  $k$  largest and  $r$  smallest order statistics of the stationary sequence  $\mathbf{X}$ , with a pre-determined probability.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in section 2 we prove that under appropriate dependence conditions we have the asymptotic independence of an upper and a lower order statistics of a stationary sequence  $\mathbf{X}$ , which will be used in section 3. The main result in this section generalizes Davis's result (1984) since it allows the presence of clusters of high values and clusters of low values in  $\mathbf{X}$ .

In section 3, we deal with the asymptotic distribution of the joint locations of the  $k$  largest and  $r$  smallest order statistics, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . We prove under appropriate dependence conditions that for each  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in [0, 1]$ ,  $\left( \overline{M}_n^{(1)}(R_n \setminus ([1, n\varepsilon_1] \cap \mathbb{N})), \overline{M}_n^{(k)}([1, n\varepsilon_1] \cap \mathbb{N}) \right)$  and  $\left( \underline{M}_n^{(1)}(R_n \setminus ([1, n\varepsilon_2] \cap \mathbb{N})), \underline{M}_n^{(r)}([1, n\varepsilon_2] \cap \mathbb{N}) \right)$

under linear normalization, are asymptotically independent, which, jointly with the asymptotic independence of a lower and an upper order statistics, will lead to the asymptotic independence of the joint locations of the  $k$  upper order statistics and the joint locations of the  $s$  lower order statistics.

## 2. Asymptotic independence of a lower and an upper extremes

In Davis (1984) it was proved that if a stationary sequence  $\mathbf{X}$  satisfies appropriate long range and local dependence conditions and the sequences  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $-\mathbf{X} = \{-X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  have extremal indexes equal to one, then we have the asymptotic independence of a lower and an upper extremes.

In this section we generalize this result of Davis since we allow the presence of clusters of high values and clusters of low values in  $\mathbf{X}$ .

The type of long range and local dependence conditions suitable in the present setting is a slight generalization of condition  $D(\mathbf{X}, u_n, v_n)$  (Davis, 1982, 1983, 1984) and the  $C(\mathbf{X}, u_n, v_n)$  condition (Davis, 1982, 1983, 1984).

**Definition 2.1.:** Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a stationary sequence of random variables and  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $\{v_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  sequences of real numbers. For each  $1 \leq i \leq j$ , set  $\mathcal{B}_i^j(u_n, v_n)$  as the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the events  $\{v_n < X_s \leq u_n\}$ ,  $i \leq s \leq j$ , and, for  $1 \leq l \leq n-1$

$$(2.1) \quad \alpha_{n,l}^* = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n-l} \left\{ |P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)| : A \in \mathcal{B}_1^k(u_n, v_n), B \in \mathcal{B}_{k+l}^n(u_n, v_n) \right\}.$$

The condition  $\Delta^*(\mathbf{X}, u_n, v_n)$  is said to hold if there exists a sequence  $l_n = o(n)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , such that

$$(2.2) \quad \alpha_{n,l_n}^* \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

By assuming (2.2), when we take in (2.1) only the events  $A = \bigcap_{s=1}^p \{v_n < X_{i_s} \leq u_n\}$  and  $B = \bigcap_{s=1}^q \{v_n < X_{j_s} \leq u_n\}$ , jointly to the conditions  $D(u_n)$  for  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $D(-v_n)$  for  $\{-X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  (Leadbetter, 1988) we obtain the condition  $D(\mathbf{X}, u_n, v_n)$  of Davis.

The condition  $C(\mathbf{X}, u_n, v_n)$  holds if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{r_n-1} (P(X_1 > u_n, X_{j+1} \leq v_n) + P(X_1 \leq v_n, X_{j+1} > u_n)) = 0,$$

where  $r_n = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k_n} \right\rfloor$ ,  $[s]$  denotes the greatest integer not greater than  $s$  and  $\{k_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence of integer numbers such that  $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Under  $C(\mathbf{X}, u_n, v_n)$  and  $D(\mathbf{X}, u_n, v_n)$  conditions, Davis (1982) proves the asymptotic independence of the maximum and minimum.

**Proposition 2.1.:** Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a stationary sequence and  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$  the extremal indexes of  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $-\mathbf{X}$ , respectively. Let  $\{a_n > 0\}_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $\{c_n > 0\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{d_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be sequences of constants such that

$$(2.3) \quad P\left(a_n^{-1} \left(\overline{M}_n^{(1)} - b_n\right) \leq x\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} G^{\theta_1}(x)$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad P\left(c_n^{-1} \left(\underline{M}_n^{(1)} - d_n\right) \leq y\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 1 - (1 - H(y))^{\theta_2}$$

where  $G(x)$  and  $H(-y)$  are extreme value distributions. If, for each  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $u_n = u_n(x) = a_n x + b_n$ ,  $v_n = v_n(y) = c_n y + d_n$ , the conditions  $D(\mathbf{X}, u_n, v_n)$  and  $C(\mathbf{X}, u_n, v_n)$  hold with  $\{k_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{l_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  sequences of integer numbers verifying

$$(2.5) \quad k_n \xrightarrow{\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \infty} \infty, \quad \frac{k_n l_n}{n} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{n} \rightarrow \infty} 0, \quad k_n \alpha_{n, l_n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

where  $\alpha_{n, l_n}$  is the mixing coefficient of the  $D(\mathbf{X}, u_n, v_n)$  condition, then

$$P(a_n^{-1} (\overline{M}_n^{(1)} - b_n) \leq x, c_n^{-1} (\underline{M}_n^{(1)} - d_n) \leq y) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} G^{\theta_1}(x) (1 - (1 - H(y_1))^{\theta_2}).$$

The joint limiting distribution of  $\left( \frac{\overline{M}_n^{(k)} - b_n}{a_n}, \frac{\underline{M}_n^{(r)} - d_n}{c_n} \right)$ , with  $a_n > 0, c_n > 0, b_n$  and  $d_n$  real constants, will be discussed in terms of the convergence in distribution of the sequence of bidimensional point processes  $\{(S_n[\mathbf{X}, u_n], S_n[-\mathbf{X}, -v_n])\}_{n \geq 1}$ , where  $u_n = u_n(x) = a_n x + b_n, v_n = v_n(y) = c_n y + d_n$  and the point process of exceedances of  $w_n, n \geq 1$ , by  $\mathbf{Y} = \{Y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ , is defined on  $[0, 1]$ , by

$$S_n[\mathbf{Y}, w_n](\cdot) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}_{\{Y_i > w_n\}} \delta_{\frac{i}{n}}(\cdot),$$

with  $\delta_a$  the Dirac measure at  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Under the condition  $\Delta^*(\mathbf{X}, u_n, v_n)$  it is possible to characterize the distributional limits for  $\{(S_n[\mathbf{X}, u_n], S_n[-\mathbf{X}, -v_n])\}_{n \geq 1}$  and to set a necessary and sufficient condition for its convergence in distribution. We present that result, which is a corollary of the main result of Nandagopalan (1990) on the bidimensional sequence of point processes of exceedances applied to the sequences  $\{(X_n, -X_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{(u_n, -v_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.:** *Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a stationary sequence. Suppose that  $\Delta^*(\mathbf{X}, u_n, v_n)$  holds and  $\{(S_n[\mathbf{X}, u_n], S_n[-\mathbf{X}, -v_n])\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges in distribution to some point process  $\mathbf{S}$ . Then  $\mathbf{S}$  is necessarily a compound Poisson process with Laplace transform*

$$L_{\mathbf{S}}(f_1, f_2) = \exp \left( -\nu \int_{[0,1]} \int_{\mathbb{N}_0^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} \left( 1 - \exp \left( -\sum_{j=1}^2 y_j f_j(x) \right) \right) d\Pi(y_1, y_2) dx \right)$$

for each non-negative measurable functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  on  $[0, 1]$ , where

$$(2.6) \quad \nu = -\log \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(S_n[X_n, u_n]([0, 1]) = 0, S_n[-X_n, -v_n]([0, 1]) = 0)$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad \Pi(y_1, y_2) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_n(y_1, y_2), \quad (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$$

with

$$\Pi_n(y_1, y_2) = P \left( \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \mathbb{I}_{\{X_i > u_n\}} = y_1, \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \mathbb{I}_{\{X_i \leq v_n\}} = y_2 \mid \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \mathbb{I}_{\{X_i > u_n\}} + \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \mathbb{I}_{\{X_i \leq v_n\}} > 0 \right)$$

$r_n = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{k_n} \right\rfloor$  and  $\{k_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence of integer numbers such that

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{k_n l_n}{n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, \quad k_n \alpha_{n, l_n}^* \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, \quad k_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty.$$

Moreover, if (2.6) and (2.7) hold for some sequence  $\{k_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  satisfying (2.8), then the sequence  $\{(S_n[\mathbf{X}, u_n], S_n[-\mathbf{X}, -v_n])\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges in distribution to the above compound Poisson process.

By considering in Proposition 2.2. only a sequence  $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  of random variables and a sequence of real numbers  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ , we obtain the result of Hsing *et al.* (1988).

As a consequence of Proposition 2.2. and its unidimensional version applied to the sequences  $\{S_n[\mathbf{X}, u_n]\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{S_n[-\mathbf{X}, -v_n]\}_{n \geq 1}$ , we conclude that if  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $-\mathbf{X}$  have extremal indexes  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ , there exists sequences  $\{a_n > 0\}_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $\{c_n > 0\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{d_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  satisfying (2.3) and (2.4), for each  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $u_n = u_n(x) = a_n x + b_n$ ,  $v_n = v_n(y) = c_n y + d_n$ ,  $\Delta^*(\mathbf{X}, u_n, v_n)$  holds and  $\{S_n[\mathbf{X}, u_n]\}_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $\{S_n[-\mathbf{X}, -v_n]\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{(S_n[\mathbf{X}, u_n], S_n[-\mathbf{X}, -v_n])\}_{n \geq 1}$  converge, then

$$\begin{aligned} G_k(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\overline{M}_n^{(k)} \leq u_n(x)) = G^{\theta_1}(x) \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{(-\log G^{\theta_1}(x))^j}{j!} \Pi_1^{*j}(i) \right), \\ H_r(y) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\underline{M}_n^{(r)} \leq v_n(y)) = 1 - (1 - H(y))^{\theta_2} \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{(-\log(1 - H(y))^{\theta_2})^j}{j!} \Pi_2^{*j}(i) \right), \\ F_{k,r}(x, y) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(\overline{M}_n^{(k)} \leq u_n(x), \underline{M}_n^{(r)} \leq v_n(y)) \\ &= G_k(x) - (G_1(x) - F_{1,1}(x, y)) \left[ 1 + \sum_{\substack{i_1=0 \\ i_1+i_2>0}}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \sum_{\max(i_1, i_2)}^{i_1+i_2} \frac{(-\log(G_1(x) - F_{1,1}(x, y)))^j}{j!} \Pi^{*j}(i_1, i_2) \right] \end{aligned}$$

where, for each  $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_1(x) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_{n,1}(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \mathbb{I}_{\{X_i > u_n\}} = x \mid \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \mathbb{I}_{\{X_i > u_n\}} > 0\right), \\ \Pi_2(y) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_{n,2}(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \mathbb{I}_{\{X_i \leq v_n\}} = y \mid \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \mathbb{I}_{\{X_i \leq v_n\}} > 0\right), \end{aligned}$$

and  $\Pi(x, y)$  is defined as previously.

By attending that the condition  $C(\mathbf{X}, u_n, v_n)$  allows to despise, for  $n$  large, the probability that happening in to an interval of length  $r_n$  “brusque ascent” and “brusque descent” the next result establishes that if the limit distribution of  $\Pi_n$  exists then it will be concentrated on

$$\{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\} : z_1 = 0 \vee z_2 = 0\}.$$

**Proposition 2.3.:** *Let  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{v_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be sequences of real numbers such that*

$$(2.9) \quad nP(X_1 > u_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_1 > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad nP(X_1 < v_n) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_2 > 0.$$

*If  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $-\mathbf{X}$  have extremal indexes  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ , respectively,  $\mathbf{X}$  is a stationary sequence and the conditions  $D(\mathbf{X}, u_n, v_n)$  and  $C(\mathbf{X}, u_n, v_n)$  hold with  $\{k_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $\{l_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $\{u_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{v_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  verifying (2.5), then for each  $(y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  we have*

- (i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_n(y_1, y_2) = 0$  if  $y_1 y_2 \neq 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \Pi_n(y, 0) - \frac{\nu_1}{\nu_1 + \nu_2} \Pi_{n,1}(y) \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \Pi_n(0, y) - \frac{\nu_2}{\nu_1 + \nu_2} \Pi_{n,2}(y) \right) = 0, \quad y \in \mathbb{N}.$

**Proof:** For sake of simplicity, we denote  $\sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \mathbb{I}_{\{X_i > u_n\}}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \mathbb{I}_{\{X_i < v_n\}}$ , respectively, by  $S_{r_n}^{(1)}$  and  $S_{r_n}^{(2)}$ . By the Lemma of asymptotic independence of maxima over disjoint intervals and applying the

Proposition 2.1., it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n \left( 1 - P \left( S_{r_n}^{(1)} = 0, S_{r_n}^{(2)} = 0 \right) \right) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( -\log P^{k_n} \left( S_{r_n}^{(1)} = 0, S_{r_n}^{(2)} = 0 \right) \right) \\
&= -\log \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left( \overline{M}_n^{(1)} \leq u_n, \underline{M}_n^{(1)} > u_n \right) = \nu_1 + \nu_2 = \theta_1 \tau_1 + \theta_2 \tau_2.
\end{aligned}$$

Then, for all  $(y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{N}_0^2 \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  such that  $y_1 y_2 \neq 0$ , we have

$$(2.10) \quad \Pi_n(y_1, y_2) = \frac{k_n P \left( S_{r_n}^{(1)} = y_1, S_{r_n}^{(2)} = y_2 \right)}{k_n P \left( S_{r_n}^{(1)} + S_{r_n}^{(2)} > 0 \right)} = \frac{k_n P \left( S_{r_n}^{(1)} = y_1, S_{r_n}^{(2)} = y_2 \right)}{\nu_1 + \nu_2} (1 + o(1)).$$

Since  $\mathbf{X}$  is a stationary sequence,  $C(\mathbf{X}, u_n, v_n)$  holds and  $k_n P(X_1 < v_n) + k_n P(X_1 > u_n) = o(1)$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& k_n P \left( S_{r_n}^{(1)} \neq 0, S_{r_n}^{(2)} \neq 0 \right) \\
&= k_n P \left( S_{r_n}^{(1)} \neq 0, S_{r_n}^{(2)} \neq 0, v_n \leq X_1 \leq u_n \right) + o(1) \\
&\leq k_n P \left( \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \{X_i > u_n, X_j < v_n\} \right) + o(1) \\
&\leq k_n r_n \sum_{j=2}^{r_n} P(X_1 > u_n, X_j < v_n) + P(X_1 < v_n, X_j > u_n) = o(1),
\end{aligned}$$

which, with (2.10) allow us to conclude that  $\Pi_n(y_1, y_2) = o(1)$  if  $y_1 y_2 \neq 0$ .

(ii) Taking  $y_2 = 0$  in (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_n(y, 0) &= \frac{k_n P \left( S_{r_n}^{(1)} = y, S_{r_n}^{(2)} = 0 \right)}{\nu_1 + \nu_2} (1 + o(1)) \\
&= \frac{k_n P \left( S_{r_n}^{(1)} = y, S_{r_n}^{(2)} = 0, v_n \leq X_1 \leq u_n \right) + o(1)}{\nu_1 + \nu_2} (1 + o(1)) \\
&= \frac{k_n P \left( S_{r_n}^{(1)} = y \right) + o(1)}{\nu_1 + \nu_2} (1 + o(1)),
\end{aligned}$$

since

$$k_n P \left( S_{r_n}^{(1)} = y, S_{r_n}^{(2)} \neq 0, v_n \leq X_1 \leq u_n \right) = o(1).$$

So,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Pi_n(y, 0) &= \frac{k_n \Pi_{n,1}(y) P \left( S_{r_n}^{(1)} > 0 \right) + o(1)}{\nu_1 + \nu_2} (1 + o(1)) \\
&= \Pi_{n,1}(y) \frac{\nu_1 + o(1)}{\nu_1 + \nu_2} (1 + o(1)).
\end{aligned}$$

The second convergence in (ii) is proved by using analogous arguments.

We are now ready to prove the asymptotic independence of an upper and a lower order statistics.

**Corollary 2.1.:** *Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a stationary sequence and suppose that  $C(\mathbf{X}, u_n, v_n)$  and  $\Delta^*(\mathbf{X}, u_n, v_n)$  hold with  $\{k_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{l_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  sequences of integers numbers verifying (2.8). If the sequences  $\mathbf{X}$  and*

$-\mathbf{X}$  have extremal indexes  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ , respectively, satisfy (2.9) and, for each  $i = 1, 2$ ,  $\Pi_{n,i}$  converges weakly to a distribution  $\Pi_i$ , then  $\{(S_n[\mathbf{X}, u_n], S_n[-\mathbf{X}, -v_n])\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges in distribution to the point process with Laplace transform

$$L_{S[\nu_1, \Pi_1]}(f_1)L_{S[\nu_2, \Pi_2]}(f_2),$$

for any  $f_1, f_2$  non-negative measurable functions on  $[0, 1]$ .

**Proof:** Since the conditions of Proposition 2.2. are satisfied with

$$\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2 = \theta_1\tau_1 + \theta_2\tau_2$$

and

$$\Pi(y_1, y_2) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y_1 y_2 \neq 0 \\ \frac{\nu_1}{\nu_1 + \nu_2} \Pi_1(y_1) & \text{if } y_1 \neq 0 \wedge y_2 = 0 \\ \frac{\nu_2}{\nu_1 + \nu_2} \Pi_2(y_2) & \text{if } y_1 = 0 \wedge y_2 \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

then the limit point process is a bidimensional compound Poisson process with intensity  $\nu_1 + \nu_2$  and distribution of multiplicities  $\Pi$ , with Laplace transform

$$\begin{aligned} & L_{S[\nu_1 + \nu_2, \Pi]}(f_1, f_2) \\ &= \exp\left(-(\nu_1 + \nu_2) \int_{[0,1]} \int_{\mathbb{N}_0^2 \setminus \{0\}} \left(1 - \exp\left(-\sum_{j=1}^2 y_j f_j(x)\right)\right) d\Pi(y_1, y_2) dx\right) \\ &= \exp\left(-(\nu_1 + \nu_2) \int_{[0,1]} \left(\int_{\mathbb{N}} (1 - \exp(-y_1 f_1(x))) \frac{\nu_1}{\nu_1 + \nu_2} d\Pi_1(y_1) dx \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \int_{\mathbb{N}} (1 - \exp(-y_2 f_2(x))) \frac{\nu_2}{\nu_1 + \nu_2} d\Pi_2(y_2) dx\right)\right) \\ &= L_{S[\nu_1, \Pi_1]}(f_1) \times L_{S[\nu_2, \Pi_2]}(f_2). \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Asymptotic independence of the joint locations of the $k$ largest extremes and the joint locations of the $r$ smallest extremes

In Ferreira *et al.* (2002) was introduced a slight generalization of  $\Delta(u_n)$ -condition which enable us to deal with exceedances of multiple levels and their locations in disjoint intervals.

**Definition 3.1.:** Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a stationary sequence of random variables and  $\{u_n^{(i)}\}_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , sequences of real numbers. For each  $1 \leq r \leq s$ , set  $B_r^s(u_n^{(i)*})$  as the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the events  $\{X_t \leq u_n^{(i)*}\}$ ,  $r \leq t \leq s$ , where  $u_n^{(i)*} \in \{u_n^{(1)}, u_n^{(2)}\}$ , and, for  $1 \leq l \leq n - 1$

$$\alpha_{n,l}^{(2)} = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n-l} \left\{ |P(A \cap B) - P(A)P(B)| : A \in \mathcal{B}_1^k(u_n^{(1)}), B \in \mathcal{B}_{k+l}^n(u_n^{(2)}) \right\}.$$

The condition  $\Delta_2(\mathbf{X}, u_n^{(1)}, u_n^{(2)})$  is said to hold if there exists a sequence  $l_n = o(n)$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , such that  $\alpha_{n,l_n}^{(2)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$ .

Under  $\Delta_2(\mathbf{X}, u_n^{(1)}, u_n^{(2)})$ , for all  $u_n^{(1)} = u_n(x_1) = a_n x_1 + b_n$ ,  $u_n^{(2)} = u_n(x_2) = a_n x_2 + b_n$ ,  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , and by supposing that the sequence  $\{S_n[\mathbf{X}, u_n^{(i)*}]\}$ , with  $u_n^{(i)*} \in \{u_n^{(1)}, u_n^{(2)}\}$ , converges, Ferreira *et al.* (2002) obtained the asymptotic behavior of the joint locations of the  $k$  largest order statistics:

$\forall \varepsilon \in [0, 1],$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^k \left\{ \overline{L}_n^{(i)} \leq n\varepsilon \right\} \right) = \varepsilon - \sum_{s=1}^{k-1} \varepsilon^s (1 - \varepsilon) \sum_{t=s}^{k-1} \Pi_1^{*s}(t),$$

where  $\Pi_1^{*s}$  is the  $s$ th convolution of the probability distribution  $\Pi_1$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ , defined in the previous section.

Since the  $r$ th lower extreme among  $X_i, i \leq n, \underline{M}_n^{(r)}$ , is simply given as the  $r$ th upper extreme among  $-X_i, i \leq n$ , using analogous arguments as in Ferreira *et al.* (2002), we conclude that if  $\Delta_2 \left( -\mathbf{X}, -v_n^{(1)}, -v_n^{(2)} \right)$  holds for all  $v_n^{(1)} = v_n(x_1) = c_n x_1 + d_n, v_n^{(2)} = v_n(x_2) = c_n x_2 + d_n, x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\left\{ S_n \left[ -\mathbf{X}, -v_n^{(i)*} \right] \right\}$ , with  $v_n^{(i)*} \in \left\{ v_n^{(1)}, v_n^{(2)} \right\}$ , converges, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^r \left\{ \underline{L}_n^{(i)} \leq n\varepsilon \right\} \right) = \varepsilon - \sum_{s=1}^{r-1} \varepsilon^s (1 - \varepsilon) \sum_{j=s}^{r-1} \Pi_2^{*s}(j).$$

In the following, we will define generalizations of the conditions defined in the previous section in order to obtain for every subsets  $I, J, I', J'$  of  $[0, 1]$  such that  $I \cup J = I' \cup J' = [0, 1]$  and  $I \cap J = I' \cap J' = \emptyset$ , the asymptotic independence of the events

$$\left\{ \overline{M}_n^{(1)}(I) \leq u_n(x_1), \overline{M}_n^{(k)}(J) \leq u_n(x_2) \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \underline{M}_n^{(1)}(I') > v_n(y_1), \underline{M}_n^{(r)}(J') > v_n(y_2) \right\},$$

where the levels  $u_n(x_i), v_n(y_i), i = 1, 2$ , satisfy  $n_1 n_2 P(X_1 > u_n(x_i)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \tau_i, n_1 n_2 P(X_1 \leq v_n(y_i)) \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \tau'_i$ . This in turn will lead to the asymptotic independence of the joint locations of the  $k$  upper order statistics and the joint locations of the  $r$  lower order statistics.

**Definition 3.2.:** Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a stationary sequence of random variables and  $\left\{ u_n^{(i)} \right\}_{n \geq 1}, \left\{ v_n^{(i)} \right\}_{n \geq 1}, i = 1, 2$ , sequences of real numbers. The condition  $\Delta_2^*(\mathbf{X}, (u_n^{(1)}, u_n^{(2)}), (v_n^{(1)}, v_n^{(2)}))$  holds if in (2.1) we consider the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the events  $\left\{ v_n^{(i)*} < X_s \leq u_n^{(i)*} \right\}$ , where  $u_n^{(i)*} \in \left\{ u_n^{(1)}, u_n^{(2)} \right\}$  and  $v_n^{(i)*} \in \left\{ v_n^{(1)}, v_n^{(2)} \right\}$ .

We shall define the mixing coefficient in condition  $\Delta_2^*(\mathbf{X}, (u_n^{(1)}, u_n^{(2)}), (v_n^{(1)}, v_n^{(2)}))$  by  $\alpha_{n,l_n}^{*(2)}$ .

**Definition 3.3.:** Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a stationary sequence. The condition  $C(\mathbf{X}, (u_n^{(1)}, u_n^{(2)}), (v_n^{(1)}, v_n^{(2)}))$  holds if

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n \sum_{i=1}^{r_n} \sum_{j=1}^{r_n} P(X_i > u_n^{(i)*}, X_j \leq v_n^{(i)*}) = 0,$$

where  $u_n^{(i)*} \in \left\{ u_n^{(1)}, u_n^{(2)} \right\}, v_n^{(i)*} \in \left\{ v_n^{(1)}, v_n^{(2)} \right\}$  and  $\{k_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a sequence of integer numbers such that  $k_n \rightarrow \infty$ .

If  $u_n^{(1)} = u_n^{(2)} = u_n$  and  $v_n^{(1)} = v_n^{(2)} = v_n$  we obtain conditions  $\Delta^*(\mathbf{X}, u_n, v_n)$  and  $C(\mathbf{X}, u_n, v_n)$ .

**Lemma 3.1.:** Let  $\mathbf{X}$  be a stationary sequence and suppose that, for each  $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $u_n^{(i)} = u_n(x_i) = a_n x_i + b_n, v_n^{(i)} = v_n(y_i) = c_n y_i + d_n, i = 1, 2$ , the condition  $\Delta_2^*(\mathbf{X}, (u_n^{(1)}, u_n^{(2)}), (v_n^{(1)}, v_n^{(2)}))$  holds and the sequence  $\left\{ \left( S_n \left[ \mathbf{X}, u_n^{(i)*} \right], S_n \left[ -\mathbf{X}, -v_n^{(i)*} \right] \right) \right\}_{n \geq 1}$ , where  $u_n^{(i)*} \in \left\{ u_n^{(1)}, u_n^{(2)} \right\}, v_n^{(i)*} \in \left\{ v_n^{(1)}, v_n^{(2)} \right\}$ , converges. Then, for disjoint subsets  $I$  and  $J$  of  $[0, 1]$ , we have the asymptotic independence of

$$\left( S_n \left[ \mathbf{X}, u_n^{(i)*} \right] (I), S_n \left[ -\mathbf{X}, -v_n^{(i)*} \right] (I) \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \left( S_n \left[ \mathbf{X}, u_n^{(i)*} \right] (J), S_n \left[ -\mathbf{X}, -v_n^{(i)*} \right] (J) \right).$$

**Lemma 3.2.:** Let  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $-\mathbf{X}$  be sequences with extremal indexes  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ , respectively. If  $C(\mathbf{X}, (u_n^{(1)}, u_n^{(2)}), (v_n^{(1)}, v_n^{(2)}))$  and  $\Delta_2^*(\mathbf{X}, (u_n^{(1)}, u_n^{(2)}), (v_n^{(1)}, v_n^{(2)}))$  hold, where the levels  $u_n^{(i)}, v_n^{(i)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , satisfy

$$nP(X_1 > u_n^{(i)}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_i > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad nP(X_1 \leq v_n^{(i)}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau'_i > 0,$$

the sequence  $\{k_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  verifies

$$\frac{k_n l_n}{n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, \quad k_n \alpha_{n, l_n}^{*(2)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0, \quad k_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \infty,$$

and, for each  $u_n^{(i)*} \in \{u_n^{(1)}, u_n^{(2)}\}$ ,  $v_n^{(i)*} \in \{v_n^{(1)}, v_n^{(2)}\}$ , the sequence  $\left\{ \left( S_n \left[ \mathbf{X}, u_n^{(i)*} \right], S_n \left[ -\mathbf{X}, -v_n^{(i)*} \right] \right) \right\}_{n \geq 1}$  converges, then, by considering  $A_{\varepsilon_i} = \{1, \dots, [n\varepsilon_i]\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , we have, for each  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & P \left( \overline{M}_n^{(1)}(R_n \setminus A_{\varepsilon_1}) \leq u_n^{(1)}, \overline{M}_n^{(k)}(A_{\varepsilon_1}) \leq u_n^{(2)}, \underline{M}_n^{(1)}(R_n \setminus A_{\varepsilon_2}) > v_n^{(1)}, \underline{M}_n^{(r)}(A_{\varepsilon_2}) > v_n^{(2)} \right) \\ & - P \left( \overline{M}_n^{(1)}(R_n \setminus A_{\varepsilon_1}) \leq u_n^{(1)}, \overline{M}_n^{(k)}(A_{\varepsilon_1}) \leq u_n^{(2)} \right) \times \\ & P \left( \underline{M}_n^{(1)}(R_n \setminus A_{\varepsilon_2}) > v_n^{(1)}, \underline{M}_n^{(r)}(A_{\varepsilon_2}) > v_n^{(2)} \right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** By supposing, for example, that  $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2$ , let  $I_1 = (0, \varepsilon_1]$ ,  $I_2 = (\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2]$  and  $I_3 = (\varepsilon_2, 1]$ . Then, by applying Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left( \overline{M}_n^{(1)}(R_n \setminus A_{\varepsilon_1}) \leq u_n^{(1)}, \overline{M}_n^{(k)}(A_{\varepsilon_1}) \leq u_n^{(2)}, \underline{M}_n^{(1)}(R_n \setminus A_{\varepsilon_2}) > v_n^{(1)}, \underline{M}_n^{(r)}(A_{\varepsilon_2}) > v_n^{(2)} \right) \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left( S_n \left[ \mathbf{X}, u_n^{(2)} \right] (I_1) \leq k-1, S_n \left[ -\mathbf{X}, -v_n^{(2)} \right] (I_1) \leq r-1 \right) \times \\ & P \left( S_n \left[ \mathbf{X}, u_n^{(1)} \right] (I_2) = 0, S_n \left[ -\mathbf{X}, -v_n^{(2)} \right] (I_2) \leq r-1 \right) \times \\ & P \left( S_n \left[ \mathbf{X}, u_n^{(1)} \right] (I_3) = 0, S_n \left[ -\mathbf{X}, -v_n^{(1)} \right] (I_3) = 0 \right), \end{aligned}$$

and since  $C(\mathbf{X}, (u_n^{(1)}, u_n^{(2)}), (v_n^{(1)}, v_n^{(2)}))$  and  $\Delta_2^*(\mathbf{X}, (u_n^{(1)}, u_n^{(2)}), (v_n^{(1)}, v_n^{(2)}))$  imply  $C(\mathbf{X}, u_n^{(i)}, v_n^{(j)})$  and  $\Delta^*(\mathbf{X}, u_n^{(i)}, v_n^{(j)})$ , for each  $i, j \in \{1, 2\}$ , it follows, from Corollary 2.1. that

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left( \overline{M}_n^{(1)}(R_n \setminus A_{\varepsilon_1}) \leq u_n^{(1)}, \overline{M}_n^{(k)}(A_{\varepsilon_1}) \leq u_n^{(2)}, \underline{M}_n^{(1)}(R_n \setminus A_{\varepsilon_2}) > v_n^{(1)}, \underline{M}_n^{(r)}(A_{\varepsilon_2}) > v_n^{(2)} \right) \\ & = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left( S_n \left[ \mathbf{X}, u_n^{(2)} \right] (I_1) \leq k-1 \right) \times P \left( S_n \left[ -\mathbf{X}, -v_n^{(2)} \right] (I_1) \leq r-1 \right) \times \\ & P \left( S_n \left[ \mathbf{X}, u_n^{(1)} \right] (I_2) = 0 \right) \times P \left( S_n \left[ -\mathbf{X}, -v_n^{(2)} \right] (I_2) \leq r-1 \right) \times \\ & P \left( S_n \left[ \mathbf{X}, u_n^{(1)} \right] (I_3) = 0 \right) \times P \left( S_n \left[ -\mathbf{X}, -v_n^{(1)} \right] (I_3) = 0 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by using stationarity and attending that the sequences  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $-\mathbf{X}$  have extremal indexes  $\theta_1$  and  $\theta_2$ , respectively, and  $\Delta(\mathbf{X}, u_n^{(i)}, \Delta(-\mathbf{X}, -v_n^{(i)}))$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , hold, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P \left( \overline{M}_n^{(1)}(R_n \setminus A_{\varepsilon_1}) \leq u_n^{(1)}, \overline{M}_n^{(k)}(A_{\varepsilon_1}) \leq u_n^{(2)}, \underline{M}_n^{(1)}(R_n \setminus A_{\varepsilon_2}) > v_n^{(1)}, \underline{M}_n^{(r)}(A_{\varepsilon_2}) > v_n^{(2)} \right) \\ & = e^{-\theta_2 \tau'_1 (1-\varepsilon_2)} \times e^{-\theta_1 \tau_1 (1-\varepsilon_1)} \times e^{-\theta_1 \tau_2 \varepsilon_1} \times \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{(\theta_1 \tau_2 \varepsilon_1)^j}{j!} \Pi_1^{*j}(i) \right] \times \\ & \left[ 1 - e^{-\theta_2 \tau'_2 \varepsilon_2} \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{(\theta_2 \tau'_2 \varepsilon_2)^j}{j!} \Pi_2^{*j}(i) \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, since  $\Delta_2(\mathbf{X}, u_n^{(1)}, u_n^{(2)})$  and  $\Delta_2(-\mathbf{X}, -v_n^{(1)}, -v_n^{(2)})$  hold, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P \left( \overline{M}_n^{(1)}(R_n \setminus A_{\varepsilon_1}) \leq u_n^{(1)}, \overline{M}_n^{(k)}(A_{\varepsilon_1}) \leq u_n^{(2)} \right) P \left( \underline{M}_n^{(1)}(R_n \setminus A_{\varepsilon_2}) > v_n^{(1)}, \underline{M}_n^{(r)}(A_{\varepsilon_2}) > v_n^{(2)} \right) \\ & = P \left( \overline{M}_n^{(1)}(R_n \setminus A_{\varepsilon_1}) \leq u_n^{(1)} \right) P \left( \overline{M}_n^{(k)}(A_{\varepsilon_1}) \leq u_n^{(2)} \right) P \left( \underline{M}_n^{(1)}(R_n \setminus A_{\varepsilon_2}) > v_n^{(1)} \right) P \left( \underline{M}_n^{(r)}(A_{\varepsilon_2}) > v_n^{(2)} \right) \\ & + o(1) \end{aligned}$$



which also converges to

$$e^{-\theta_2 \tau_1' (1-\varepsilon_2)} \times e^{-\theta_1 \tau_1 (1-\varepsilon_1)} \times e^{-\theta_1 \tau_2 \varepsilon_1} \times \left[ 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{(\theta_1 \tau_2 \varepsilon_1)^j}{j!} \Pi_1^{*j}(i) \right] \times \left[ 1 - e^{-\theta_2 \tau_2' \varepsilon_2} \left( 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \sum_{j=1}^i \frac{(\theta_2 \tau_2' \varepsilon_2)^j}{j!} \Pi_2^{*j}(i) \right) \right].$$

**Proposition 3.1.:** *Under the assumptions of Lemma 3.2. we have*

$$P \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^k \{ \bar{L}_n^{(i)} \leq n\varepsilon_1 \}, \bigcap_{i=1}^r \{ \underline{L}_n^{(i)} \leq n\varepsilon_2 \} \right) - P \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^k \{ \bar{L}_n^{(i)} \leq n\varepsilon_1 \} \right) P \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^r \{ \underline{L}_n^{(i)} \leq n\varepsilon_2 \} \right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0.$$

**Proof:** It follows from the relationship

$$\begin{aligned} & P \left( \bigcap_{i=1}^k \{ \bar{L}_n^{(i)} \leq n\varepsilon_1 \}, \bigcap_{i=1}^r \{ \underline{L}_n^{(i)} \leq n\varepsilon_2 \} \right) \\ &= P \left( \overline{M}_n^{(1)}(R_n \setminus A_{\varepsilon_1}) \leq \overline{M}_n^{(k)}(A_{\varepsilon_1}), \underline{M}_n^{(1)}(R_n \setminus A_{\varepsilon_2}) \geq \underline{M}_n^{(r)}(A_{\varepsilon_2}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

and Lemma 3.2.

We finish this section by exhibiting a stationary sequence that verifies the assumptions of Proposition 3.1.

**Example:** Let  $\{Y_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with common distribution function,  $F$ , and define

$$X_n = \max(Y_n, Y_{n+1}), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Let  $\{u_n^{(i)}\}_{n \geq 1}$  and  $\{v_n^{(i)}\}_{n \geq 1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , be sequences of real numbers such that

$$n \left( 1 - F^2(u_n^{(i)}) \right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_i \quad \text{and} \quad n F^2(-v_n^{(i)}) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_i'.$$

Then  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $-\mathbf{X}$  have extremal indexes  $\theta_1 = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\theta_2 = 1$ , respectively. Moreover  $\Pi_1(2) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_{n,1}(2) = 1$  and  $\Pi_2(1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pi_{n,2}(1) = 1$ .

Since  $\mathbf{X}$  is 2-dependent, for each  $u_n^* \in \{u_n^{(1)}, u_n^{(2)}\}$  and  $v_n^* \in \{v_n^{(1)}, v_n^{(2)}\}$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & n \sum_{j=2}^{r_n} P(X_1 > u_n^*, X_j \leq -v_n^*) + P(X_1 \leq -v_n^*, X_j > u_n^*) \\ &= n (P(X_1 > u_n^*, X_2 \leq -v_n^*) + P(X_1 \leq -v_n^*, X_2 > u_n^*)) + \\ & n \sum_{j=3}^{r_n} P(X_1 > u_n^*, X_j \leq -v_n^*) + P(X_1 \leq -v_n^*, X_j > u_n^*) \\ &\leq n (P(Y_1 > u_n^*, Y_2 \leq -v_n^*, Y_3 \leq -v_n^*) + P(Y_1 \leq -v_n^*, Y_2 \leq -v_n^*, Y_3 \geq u_n^*)) \\ & \quad + 2nr_n (1 - F^2(u_n^*)) F^2(-v_n^*) \\ &\leq 2n (1 - F(u_n^*)) F^2(-v_n^*) + 2nr_n (1 - F^2(u_n^*)) F^2(-v_n^*) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0. \end{aligned}$$

## References

- Davis, R. (1982). Limit laws for the maximum and minimum of stationary sequences. *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeits theorie verw. Gebiete*, 61, 31-42.
- Davis, R. (1983). Limit laws for upper and lower extremes from stationary mixing sequences. *J. Multivariate Anal.*, 13, 273-286.
- Davis, R. (1984). On upper and lower extremes in stationary sequences. *J. Tiago Oliveira (ed), Statistical Extremes and Applications*, 443-460.
- Ferreira, H. and Scotto, M. (2002). On the asymptotic locations of high values of a stationary sequence. *Statistics and Probability Letters*, 60, 475-482.
- Hsing, T., Husler, J. and Leadbetter, M.R. (1988). On the exceedance point process for a stationary sequence. *Prob. Rel. Fields*, 78, 97-112.
- Nandagopalan, S. (1990). Multivariate extremes and estimation of the extremal index. *Ph. D. Thesis University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill*.
- Leadbetter, M.R., Lindgren, G. and Rootzén, H. (1988) *Extremes and related properties of random sequences and processes*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Pereira, L. and Ferreira, H. (2002) The asymptotic locations of the maximum and minimum of stationary sequences. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference*, 104, 287-295.